

Although Martin et al does not explicitly teach generating the total number of orders that were delivered on time for a first second or third subset of the records, it would have been obvious to generate a database showing, for each order placed between a customer and supplier, all the information to generate the on time performance to allow the user to more accurately evaluate the on time performance of shipments between several combinations of suppliers and customers.

(Office Action, p. 10). Again, instead of alleging a genuine motivation *in the prior art* to modify Martin to be configured in the particular manner claimed, the Office Action is improperly benefiting from 20/20 hindsight using Applicant's own specification to arrive at the particular claimed configuration. There is simply no teaching or suggestion of the specifically claimed combinations of features, nor is there any motivation in the prior art to combine features in the specific way claimed.

Conclusion

All rejections having been addressed, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance, and notice to that effect is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner feel that a telephone interview would be beneficial to expedite prosecution of the present application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the below number. Please charge any fees due in connection with this paper to our Deposit Account No. 19-0733.

Respectfully submitted,



Jordan N. Bodner
Registration No. 42,338

Date: March 7, 2005

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
1001 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001-4597
Telephone: 202-824-3000
Facsimile: 202-824-3001